Analytical calculations of scattering lengths for a class of long-range potentials of interest for atomic physics
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ABSTRACT
We derive two equivalent analytical expressions for an $l$th partial-wave scattering length $a_l$ for central potentials with long-range tails of the form $V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{A_n}{r^n} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{B r^{n-4}}{(r^n + R^{n-2})^2}, (r \geq r_s, R > 0)$. For $C = 0$, this family of potentials reduces to the Lenz potentials discussed in a similar context in our earlier works [R. Szmytkowski, Acta Phys. Pol. A 79, 613 (1991); J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 28, 7333 (1995)]. The formulas for $a_l$ that we provide in this paper depend on the parameters $B$, $C$, and $R$ characterizing the tail of the potential, on the core radius $r_s$, as well as on the short-range scattering length $a_{ls}$, the latter being due to the core part of the potential. The procedure, which may be viewed as an analytical extrapolation from $a_{ls}$ to $a_l$, is relied on the fact that the general solution to the zero-energy radial Schrödinger equation with the potential given above may be expressed analytically in terms of the generalized associated Legendre functions.

I. INTRODUCTION
Scattering lengths are among the most important parameters characterizing atomic collisional processes at ultralow energies.\textsuperscript{1–4} Therefore, there is a need for developing reliable and effective methods for the calculation of these quantities and a variety of such procedures—analytical, numerical, or of a mixed character—have been proposed.\textsuperscript{5–45}

Some time ago, in Ref. 19, we presented analytical formulas for partial-wave scattering lengths $a_l$ for central potentials with the following three types of long-range tails: (i) the inverse power tail

$$V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{A_n}{r^n}, \quad (r \geq r_s), \quad (1.1)$$

(ii) the so-called Lennard-Jones $(n, 2n - 2)$ tail

$$V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{A_n}{r^n} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{A_{2n-2}}{r^{2n-2}}, \quad (r \geq r_s), \quad (1.2)$$

and (iii) the so-called Lenz tail

$$V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{B r^{n-4}}{(r^n + R^{n-2})^2}, \quad (r \geq r_s, R > 0). \quad (1.3)$$
The expressions for \( a_l \) provided in Ref. 19 involve parameters characterizing tails of particular potentials, the core radius \( r_s \), the short-range scattering lengths \( a_0 \), that due to the core part of the potential and that usually have to be determined numerically, and also some of the well-known special functions of mathematical physics: the Bessel functions for the tail (1.1), the Whittaker functions for the tail (1.2), and the associated Legendre functions for the tail (1.3). In brief, the procedure may be viewed as an analytical extrapolation from \( a_0 \) to \( a_l \), with the use of the fact that in the region \( r \geq r_s \), the general solution to the zero-energy radial Schrödinger equation with the potentials given above are expressible in terms of the afore-mentioned special functions.

In the present paper, we consider a class of central potentials with still another functional form of the long-range tail, which is

\[
V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{Br^4}{(r^n + R^{n-2})^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{C}{r^2(r^n + R^{n-2})} \quad (r \geq r_s, \quad R > 0).
\]

(1.4)

This tail is seen to generalize the Lenz tail (1.3); moreover, asymptotically, it imitates the Lennard-Jones tail (1.2) since it falls off as

\[
V(r) \sim -\frac{\hbar^2 B + C}{r^n} - \frac{\hbar^2 (-2B - C)R^{n-2}}{r^{2n-2}} + O(r^{-3n+4}).
\]

(1.5)

In the following, we shall prove that also for potentials with the tail (1.4), it is possible to extrapolate analytically from \( a_0 \) to \( a_l \), but this time with the use of generalized associated Legendre functions.

The paper is structured as follows: In Sec. II, a definition and some basic facts about partial-wave scattering lengths are reminded, and then, a particular method enabling one to calculate these quantities is sketched. This method is then used in Sec. III to derive two equivalent analytical expressions, displayed in Eqs. (3.16a) and (3.16b), for scattering lengths for potentials with the tail given in Eq. (1.4). Special cases when these two formulas simplify are discussed in Sec. IV. Finally, concluding remarks form Sec. V.

II. THE METHOD

The \( l \)th partial-wave scattering length \( a_l \) is defined through the limit relation

\[
a_l = -(2l - 1)!!(2l + 1)!! \lim_{k \to 0} \tan \delta_l(k) / k^{2l+1},
\]

(2.1)

[by definition, \((-1)^!! = 1\)], where \( \delta_l(k) \) is the \( l \)th partial-wave scattering phase shift at the particle wave number \( k \) (notice that some authors prefer a definition of \( a_l \) with the double factorials omitted). It can be shown (Ref. 48, Sec. 12) that for potentials that asymptotically fall off as

\[
V(r) \sim \text{const} \times r^{-n} + O(r^{-n+\epsilon}) \quad (n > 3, \quad \epsilon > 0)
\]

(2.2)

the limit in Eq. (2.1) is finite, and thus, \( a_l \) does exist, for partial waves with the angular momentum quantum number \( l \) constrained by the inequality

\[
2l < n - 3.
\]

(2.3)

The method of evaluation of \( a_l \) based on the direct use of the definition (2.1) is impractical, as it requires prior knowledge of the functional form of \( \delta_l(k) \) in the neighborhood of the threshold point \( k = 0 \). The more convenient approach is the following one (cf. Ref. 15). Let \( F_l(r) \) be a solution to the zero-energy radial Schrödinger equation in the outer domain,

\[
\left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\hbar^2(l + 1)}{2mr^2} + V(r) \right] F_l(r) = 0 \quad (r \geq r_s),
\]

(2.4)

which at \( r = r_s \) matches smoothly onto an inner-domain solution that is regular at \( r = 0 \). The asymptotic form of \( F_l(r) \) is

\[
F_l(r) \sim A_l \left[ r^{l+1} - a_l r^{-l} \right],
\]

(2.5)

where \( a_l \) is the scattering length and \( A_l \) is a multiplicative factor. Guided by the form of the right-hand side of Eq. (2.5), we introduce two auxiliary functions \( A_l(r) \) and \( a_l(r) \) such that

\[
F_l(r) = A_l(r) \left[ r^{l+1} - a_l(r) r^{-l} \right]
\]

(2.6a)
\[
\frac{dF_i(r)}{dr} = A_i(r) \left[ (l+1)r^l + a_l(r)r^{-l-1} \right]. \tag{2.6b}
\]

It is evident that asymptotically, the function \(a_l(r)\) tends to \(a_l\),

\[
a_l = \lim_{r \to \infty} a_l(r) \tag{2.7}
\]

and that \(a_l(r)\) may be expressed as

\[
a_l(r) = r^{2l+1} \frac{L_l(r) - (l+1)}{rL_l(r) + l}, \tag{2.8}
\]

where

\[
L_l(r) = \frac{1}{F_i(r)} \frac{dF_i(r)}{dr} \tag{2.9}
\]

is the logarithmic derivative of \(F_i(r)\). If \(f_l(r)\) and \(g_l(r)\) are any two linearly independent solutions to Eq. (2.4), the physical solution \(F_l(r)\) is a linear combination of the two,

\[
F_l(r) = \alpha_l f_l(r) + \beta_l g_l(r). \tag{2.10}
\]

Hence, the logarithmic derivative \(L_l(r)\) is

\[
L_l(r) = \frac{f'_l(r) + \gamma_l g'_l(r)}{f_l(r) + \gamma_l g_l(r)}, \tag{2.11}
\]

where the prime means differentiation with respect to \(r\), while \(\gamma_l\) is the ratio of the coefficients appearing in Eq. (2.10),

\[
\gamma_l = \frac{\beta_l}{\alpha_l}. \tag{2.12}
\]

If in Eqs. (2.8) and (2.11) we set \(r = r_s\) and solve the resulting system for \(\gamma_l\), this gives

\[
\gamma_l = \frac{\left(\frac{r_s^{2l+1} - a_{l}}{r_s^{2l+1} - a_{l}} \right) f'_l(r_s) - \left[ (l+1) \frac{r_s^{2l+1} + a_{l}}{rL_l(r) + l} \right] f_l(r_s)}{\left(\frac{r_s^{2l+1} - a_{l}}{r_s^{2l+1} - a_{l}} \right) g'_l(r_s) - \left[ (l+1) \frac{r_s^{2l+1} + a_{l}}{rL_l(r) + l} \right] g_l(r_s)}, \tag{2.13}
\]

where

\[
a_{l} = a_l(r_s) \tag{2.14}
\]

is a scattering length due to the core part of the potential. Thus, we see that the scattering length \(a_l\) may be found from Eqs. (2.7) and (2.8) augmented with Eqs. (2.11) and (2.13). This method is adopted in the present work.

III. SCATTERING LENGTHS FOR POTENTIALS WITH THE TAIL (1.4)

The zero-energy radial Schrödinger equation with the tail potential (1.4) may be written in the form

\[
\left[ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{B}{r^{n-4} + R^{n-2}} + \frac{C}{r^2(r^{n-2} + R^{n-2})^2} \right] F_l(r) = 0 \quad (r \geq r_s) \tag{3.1}
\]

(the constraint \(R > 0\) is assumed to hold throughout the rest of the paper). Below, we shall show that this equation may be solved analytically in terms of known special functions. To this end, we switch from the independent variable \(r\) to the new one

\[
\rho = \frac{r^{n-2} - R^{n-2}}{r^{n-2} + R^{n-2}} \quad (\rho_s \leq \rho \leq 1), \tag{3.2}
\]

with

\[
\]

Published under license by AIP Publishing
\[ \rho_s = \frac{r^{n+2} - R^{n+2}}{r^{n+2} + R^{n+2}}, \quad (3.3) \]

and from the function \( F_i(r) \) to the function

\[ \mathcal{F}_i(p) = r^{-1/2} F_i(r). \quad (3.4) \]

The new function \( \mathcal{F}_i(p) \) is found to be a solution to the equation

\[
\left[ \frac{d}{dp} \left( 1 - \rho^2 \right) \frac{d}{dp} + \lambda (\lambda + 1) - \frac{\mu^2}{2(1 - \rho)} - \frac{\nu^2}{2(1 + \rho)} \right] \mathcal{F}_i(p) = 0 \quad (\rho_s \leq \rho \leq 1),
\]

with

\[
\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4B}{(n - 2)^2 R^{n+2}}} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.6a)
\]

\[
\mu = \frac{2l + 1}{n - 2}, \quad (3.6b)
\]

and

\[
\nu = \sqrt{\left( \frac{2l + 1}{n - 2} \right)^2 - \frac{4C}{(n - 2)^2 R^{n+2}}}. \quad (3.6c)
\]

It should be observed that, in virtue of the inequality (2.3), the parameter \( \mu \) defined above is constrained to obey

\[ 0 < \mu < 1. \quad (3.7) \]

Equation (3.5) is the generalized associated Legendre equation. Some investigations concerning its solutions had been carried out by Bateman\textsuperscript{49} in the early 1900's, but systematic studies on the subject began only half a century later with the works of Kuipers and Meulenbeld;\textsuperscript{50,51} a summary of relevant results obtained by various researchers up to the year 2000 may be found in the monograph.\textsuperscript{52} The solution to Eq. (3.5) is

\[ \mathcal{F}_i(p) = a_0 P_{\alpha}^{\mu, \nu}(p) + b_0 \tilde{P}_{\alpha}^{\mu, -\nu}(p), \quad (3.8) \]

where

\[ P_{\alpha}^{\mu, \nu}(p) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \mu)} \frac{(1 + p)^{\nu/2}}{(1 - p)^{\mu/2}} \times_2 F_1 \left( -\lambda - \frac{\mu - \nu}{2}, \lambda + 1 - \frac{\mu - \nu}{2}; 1 - \mu, 1 - \frac{1 - \rho}{2} \right) \quad (3.9) \]

is the generalized associated Legendre function of the first kind on the cross-cut \(-1 \leq \rho \leq 1\); here, \( \times_2 F_1(\cdot, \cdot) \) denotes the hypergeometric function. The functions \( P_{\alpha}^{\mu, \nu}(p) \) and \( P_{\alpha}^{\mu, -\nu}(p) \) appearing in Eq. (3.8) are linearly independent since their Wronskian

\[ W[P_{\alpha}^{\mu, \nu}(p), P_{\alpha}^{\mu, -\nu}(p)] = -\frac{2 \sin(\pi \mu)}{\pi(1 - \rho^2)}. \quad (3.10) \]

does not vanish by virtue of the constraint (3.7) obeyed by \( \mu \). Now, as \( \rho \to 1 - 0 \) (which corresponds to \( r \to \infty \)), the functions \( P_{\alpha}^{\mu, \nu}(p) \) and \( P_{\alpha}^{\mu, -\nu}(p) \) behave as

\[ P_{\alpha}^{\mu, \nu}(p) \xrightarrow{\rho \to 1 - 0} \frac{2^{\nu/2}}{\Gamma(1 - \mu)} (1 - p)^{\nu/2} + O((1 - p)^{\nu/2 + 1}) \quad (3.11a) \]

and

\[ P_{\alpha}^{\mu, -\nu}(p) \xrightarrow{\rho \to 1 - 0} \frac{2^{-\nu/2}}{\Gamma(1 + \mu)} (1 - p)^{-\nu/2} + O((1 - p)^{-\nu/2 + 1}), \quad (3.11b) \]

respectively. On combining Eqs. (3.4), (3.8) and (3.11), we see that the asymptotic behavior of the radial wavefunction \( F_i(r) \) is
\[
F_{j}(r) \xrightarrow{r \to \infty} a_{j} \frac{2^{(v-\mu)/2}}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{\rho^{j+1}}{\rho^{j+1/2}} + \beta_{j} \frac{2^{(v-\nu)/2}}{\Gamma(1+\mu)} \frac{\rho^{j+1/2}}{r^{j+1}} + O\left(\frac{r}{|R|^{j+3}}\right).
\]

(3.12)

Hence, with the use of the method presented in Sec. II, it is found that the scattering length \(a_{l}\) is

\[
a_{l} = R^{2l+1} 2^{\mu-\nu} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \mu)} \left(\frac{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0}}{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0}} \right) \left(\frac{1 - \rho_{l}^{2}}{1 - \rho_{l}^{2}}\right) \left[ \frac{\partial P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho)}{\partial \rho} \right]_{\rho = \rho_{l}} - \mu \left(\frac{r_{2}^{2l+1} + a_{0}}{r_{2}^{2l+1} + a_{0}}\right) P_{\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(\rho_{l}),
\]

(3.13)

where \(a_{0}\) is the short-range scattering length.

The presence of derivatives of the generalized Legendre functions makes the formula displayed in Eq. (3.13) impractical for use in actual applications. However, at this moment, we may exploit either the relation [Ref. 52, Eq. (25)]

\[
(\lambda + 1)(1 - \rho^{2}) \frac{\partial P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho)}{\partial \rho} = \left(\lambda + 1\right)^{2} \rho + \frac{\lambda^{2} - \nu^{2}}{4} \rho P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho) - \left(\lambda + 1 - \frac{\mu - \nu}{2}\right) \left(\lambda + 1 - \frac{\mu + \nu}{2}\right) P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho)
\]

(3.14a)

or the relation

\[
\lambda(1 - \rho^{2}) \frac{\partial P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho)}{\partial \rho} = \left(\lambda^{2} + \frac{\mu^{2} - \nu^{2}}{4}\right) \rho P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho) + \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu - \nu}{2}\right) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu + \nu}{2}\right) P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho),
\]

(3.14b)

where the latter emerges when the expression in Eq. (3.14a) is combined with the identity [Ref. 52, Eq. (7)]

\[
(2\lambda + 1) \left[ \left(\lambda + 1\right) \rho + \frac{\lambda^{2} - \nu^{2}}{4}\right] P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho) = \lambda \left(\lambda + 1 - \frac{\mu - \nu}{2}\right) \left(\lambda + 1 - \frac{\mu + \nu}{2}\right) P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho) + (\lambda + 1) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu - \nu}{2}\right) \left(\lambda + \frac{\mu + \nu}{2}\right) P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho).
\]

(3.15)

This allows us to replace the formula in Eq. (3.13) with either of the following two:

\[
a_{l} = R^{2l+1} 2^{\mu-\nu} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \mu)}{\Gamma(1 + \mu)} \left\{ \frac{r_{2}^{2l+1} \left[ (\lambda + 1)\rho_{l} - \mu (\lambda + 1) + (\mu^{2} - \nu^{2})/4\right]}{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0} \left[ (\lambda + 1)\rho_{l} + \mu (\lambda + 1) + (\mu^{2} - \nu^{2})/4\right]} P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho_{l}) \\
- a_{0} \left[ (\lambda + 1)\rho_{l} - \mu (\lambda + 1) + (\mu^{2} - \nu^{2})/4\right] P_{\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(\rho_{l}) \\
- \left(\frac{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0}}{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0}}\right) \left[ (\lambda + 1)\rho_{l} + (\mu - \nu)/2\right] \left[ (\lambda + 1)\rho_{l} + (\mu + \nu)/2\right] P_{\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(\rho_{l}) \right\}
\]

(3.16a)

or

\[
a_{l} = R^{2l+1} 2^{\mu-\nu} \frac{\Gamma(1 - \mu)}{\Gamma(1 + \mu)} \left\{ \frac{r_{2}^{2l+1} \left[ \lambda^{2}\rho_{l} + \mu(\mu^{2} - \nu^{2})/4\right]}{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0} \left[ \lambda^{2}\rho_{l} - \mu(\mu^{2} - \nu^{2})/4\right]} P_{\lambda}^{\nu,\nu}(\rho_{l}) \\
- a_{0} \left[ \lambda^{2}\rho_{l} - \mu(\mu^{2} - \nu^{2})/4\right] P_{\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(\rho_{l}) \\
- \left(\frac{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0}}{r_{2}^{2l+1} - a_{0}}\right) \left[ (\lambda + (\mu - \nu)/2)\lambda + (\mu + \nu)/2\right] P_{\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(\rho_{l}) \right\}
\]

(3.16b)

Equations (3.16a) and (3.16b) constitute the main result of this paper. In Sec. IV, we shall investigate particular cases when these two expressions may be simplified.
IV. CASES WHEN EQUATIONS (3.16a) AND (3.16b) SIMPLIFY

A. The case of $B = 0$

For $B = 0$, the tail potential (1.4) is

$$V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{C}{r^6(r^{\nu-2} + R^{\nu-2})} \quad (r \geq r_0),$$

(4.1)

and it holds that

$$\lambda = 0$$

(4.2)

[cf. Eq. (3.6a)]. As a consequence, Eq. (3.16a) becomes

$$a_l = R^{2l+1} \frac{2^{2l+1}}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{\Gamma(1-\mu)}{\Gamma(1+\mu)} \left \{ \begin{array}{c}
\binom{2l+1}{\lambda} \rho_l - \mu + (\mu^2 - v^2)/4 \\
- a_\nu [\rho_l + \mu + (\mu^2 - v^2)/4] P_0^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l) \\
- \left(r_i^{2l+1} - a_\nu\right)[1 - (\mu - v)/2][1 - (\mu + v)/2] P_0^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l)
\end{array} \right \},$$

(4.3)

while Eq. (3.16b) leads to an expression for $a_l$ of the 0/0 type since it holds that

$$P_{\lambda+1}^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l) = P_0^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l).$$

(4.4)

B. The case of $C = 0$

For $C = 0$, the tail potential (1.4) reduces to the Lenz one displayed in Eq. (1.3),

$$V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{Br^{\nu-4}}{(r^{\nu-2} + R^{\nu-2})^2} \quad (r \geq r_0).$$

(4.5)

From Eqs. (3.6b) and (3.6c), one infers that now the parameters $\mu$ and $v$ are equal,

$$v = \mu.$$  

(4.6)

Since it holds that

$$P_{\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(\rho) = P_{\lambda}^{\mu,\nu}(\rho),$$

(4.7)

where $P_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\rho)$ is the well-known associated Legendre function of the first kind *on the cross-cut* $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ (Ref. 53, Sec. 4.3), in the case under study, Eq. (3.16a) and (3.16b) simplify and go over into

$$a_l = R^{2l+1} \frac{2^{2l+1}}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \left \{ \begin{array}{c}
\binom{2l+1}{\lambda} \rho_l + \mu + (\mu^2 - v^2)/4 \\
- a_\nu [\rho_l + \mu + (\mu^2 - v^2)/4] P_0^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l) \\
- \left(r_i^{2l+1} - a_\nu\right)[1 + (\mu - v)/2][1 + (\mu + v)/2] P_0^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l)
\end{array} \right \},$$

(4.8a)

and

$$a_l = R^{2l+1} \frac{2^{2l+1}}{\Gamma(1+\mu)} \left \{ \begin{array}{c}
\binom{2l+1}{\lambda} \rho_l - \mu - (\mu^2 - v^2)/4 \\
- a_\nu [\rho_l - \mu - (\mu^2 - v^2)/4] P_0^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l) \\
- \left(r_i^{2l+1} - a_\nu\right)[1 - (\mu - v)/2][1 - (\mu + v)/2] P_0^{\nu,\mu}(\rho_l)
\end{array} \right \},$$

(4.8b)

respectively. Up to notational differences, Eq. (4.8a) coincides with Eq. (52) in Ref. 19.
C. The hard-core potential

The next class of potentials we wish to consider are those with hard cores,

\[
V(r) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{+\infty}{2m} \frac{B r^{n-4}}{(r^{n-2} + R^{n-2})^2} & \text{for } r < r_s, \\
\frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{C}{r^2(r^{n-2} + R^{n-2})} & \text{for } r \geq r_s. 
\end{cases} \quad (4.9)
\]

Then, the short-range scattering length is simply

\[a_s = r_s^{2l+1} \quad (4.10)\]

so that either of Eq. (3.16a) or Eq. (3.16b) reduces to

\[a_l = R^{2l+1} \frac{2\mu - \nu}{\Gamma(1+\mu) \Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{P^{\mu-\nu}_{\lambda}(\rho_s)}{P^{\mu-\nu}_{-\lambda}(\rho_s)}. \quad (4.11)\]

The application of the identity [Ref. 46, Eq. (4.2)]

\[P^{\mu-\nu}_{\lambda}(\rho) = 2\nu P^{\mu+\nu}_{\lambda}(\rho) \quad (4.12)\]

casts Eq. (4.11) into

\[a_l = R^{2l+1} \frac{2\mu - \nu}{\Gamma(1+\mu) \Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{P^{\mu+\nu}_{\lambda}(\rho_s)}{P^{\mu+\nu}_{-\lambda}(\rho_s)}. \quad (4.13)\]

The latter formula will be used in Sec. IV D.

D. The pure potential with \(C < 0\)

Finally, we wish to consider a potential that is of the form (1.4) throughout the whole space \(\mathbb{R}^3\), i.e., such that

\[
V(r) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{B r^{n-4}}{(r^{n-2} + R^{n-2})^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{C}{r^2(r^{n-2} + R^{n-2})} \quad (r > 0), \quad (4.14)
\]

under an additional constraint that it is repulsive near the origin,

\[n = 4, \quad B/R^2 = 40, \quad C/R^2 = -6. \quad (4.14)\]

**FIG. 1.** A sample pure potential (4.14) with \(n = 4, B/R^2 = 40, \) and \(C/R^2 = -6. \) The potential normalization parameter \(V_0\) equals \(\hbar^2/2mR^2.\)
respectively, the present case involves lesser-known generalized potentials with the tails (1.1)–(1.3) considered earlier in Ref. 19 contain Bessel, Whittaker, and the associated Legendre functions, whilst expressions for $a_l$ for potentials with the tails (1.1)–(1.3) considered earlier in Ref. 19 contain Bessel, Whittaker, and the associated Legendre functions, respectively. The present case involves lesser-known generalized potentials with the tails (1.1)–(1.3) considered earlier in Ref. 19 contain Bessel, Whittaker, and the associated Legendre functions, respectively. The present case involves lesser-known generalized potentials with the tails (1.1)–(1.3) considered earlier in Ref. 19 contain Bessel, Whittaker, and the associated Legendre functions, respectively.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The aim of this paper has been to show that there exists still another class of central potentials—those with the long-range tails (1.4) and the asymptotic representation (1.5)—for which partial-wave scattering lengths $a_l$ may be obtained in analytical forms. Whilst expressions for $a_l$ for potentials with the tails (1.1)–(1.3) considered earlier in Ref. 19 contain Bessel, Whittaker, and the associated Legendre functions, respectively, the present case involves lesser-known generalized potentials with the tails (1.1)–(1.3) considered earlier in Ref. 19.

In two particular cases, namely, for $n = 4$ and for $n = 6$, the potentials (1.4) may find applications in atomic physics. If $n = 4$, the resulting tail potential

$$V(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{B}{(r^2 + R^2)^2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{C}{r^2(r^2 + R^2)^2} \quad (r \geq r_e)$$

may be used to model a long-range polarization interaction between a charged particle and an atom. On the other hand, with $n = 6$ one obtains the potential function

$$V(r) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{B r^2}{(r^2 + R^2)^2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{C}{r^2(r^2 + R^2)^2} \quad (r \geq r_e),$$

which may imitate the van der Waals attraction between two atoms.
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42 We follow the terminology adopted in Ref. 41 and call a_i the scattering length whatever the value of i is. However, it is evident from the definition (2.1) that the physical dimension of a_i is (length)^2, i.e., a_i has the physical dimension of length, a_i has the physical dimension of volume, and so on.